

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,094	02/15/2002	Jeffrey L. Milner	EP-7541 A 9149	
7:	590 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Dennis H. Rainear, Esq.			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
Ethyl Corporation 330 South Fourth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richmond, VA 23219			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2.0.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/076,094	MILNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ellen M McAvoy	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Mar</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the pract	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffith (5,552,068) in combination with Smalheer et al and/or Walters et al (5,700,764).

Applicants' arguments filed 5 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth in the previous office action, Griffith discloses lubricating oil compositions having balanced anti-wear/extreme pressure and stability properties when used as industrial oils, hydraulic oils and gear oils while providing friction reduction and reduced copper corrosivity which comprises (1) a major amount of a lubricating oil basestock and (2) a minor amount of an amine phosphate salt of formula (I) set forth in the abstract and in column 1, lines 45-50. The examiner maintains the position that the amine phosphate salts of Griffith meet the limitation of the phosphorus-containing anti-wear agent of the claims. Suitable lubricating oil basestocks include natural oils such as mineral oils and synthetic oils, and Griffith teaches that, if desired, other additives known in the art may be added to the composition including ashless dispersants, antioxidants, and other extreme pressure additives which are typically disclosed in Smalheer et al ["Smalheer"]. See column 4, lines 15-24. Griffith does not require the addition of any metal-containing compounds and Griffith does not require the addition of any dispersant additives. Applicants have amended the independent claims to include the proviso that "wherein

Art Unit: 1764

the gear oil is essentially free of an ashless dispersant" and argues that nothing in Griffith alone or incombination with Smalheer or Walters disclosed, points to, or suggests such a gear oil or method of making such a gear oil. This is not deemed to be persuasive since Griffith does not require the addition of any dispersant additives. Furthermore, none of the Examples set forth in Griffith add an ashless dispersant to the oil compositions. Griffith teaches that excess amine can interfere with the antiwear performance of the amine phosphate and that amine phosphates may be blended at concentrations to give 200 ppm phosphorus. See column 6, lines 46-55. As previously set forth, applicants' invention appears to differ by further including a metal-free sulfur-containing extreme-pressure agent such as a sulfurized olefin or polysulfide to the composition. However, as evidenced by Smalheer, such additives are conventional in the lubricant art.

Smalheer sets forth various conventional lubricant additives including automotive extreme pressure additives for gear oils. See page 9, last paragraph, to page 10, where metal-free sulfur-containing agents are taught such as sulfurized fatty oils and alkyl polysulfides. Walters et al ["Walters"] is added to show that applicants' preferred sulfur-containing extreme pressure agents, namely sulfurized olefins and alkyl polysulfides, are well-known in the art as additives to gear oil compositions. See column 4, lines 24-47. Thus, the examiner maintains the position that having the applied prior art references before the inventors at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to have added a conventional metal-free sulfur-containing extreme pressure agent to the composition of Griffith if its' known imparted property was so desired.

Art Unit: 1764

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1764

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy July 13, 2004